DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

Division of Safety and Permanence

90-Day Summary Report for Child Death, Serious Injury or Egregious Incident

Reports submitted to the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) that do not include all of the required information will be returned to the agency for proper completion. Do not identify individuals by name when completing this report. Individuals may be referenced by relationship or position; e.g., mother, father, child, sibling, physician, detective, etc.

Cas	se Tracking Number: 140312DSP-Milw-429 Agency: Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare
	ild Information (at time of incident) 2 Months Gender: Female Male
Rac	be or Ethnicity: Black/African American, African American/Black
	ecial Needs: None Known
Dat	re of Incident: 03/12/2014
Des	scription of the incident, including the suspected cause of death, injury or egregious abuse or neglect:
sus hig! Aft infa infa	March 10, 2014, the agency received a referral regarding a two-month-old infant who had been admitted to the hospital with picious injuries. The infant was diagnosed with a torn frenulum, fractured ribs, and fractures to both legs, all of which were hly indicative of physical abuse. The mother initially gave multiple explanations of how the infant received the injuries. For she was arrested, the mother admitted that she had left the infant and the infant's two-year-old brother alone with the ant's alleged (two year-olds adjudicated) father on March 6th. When she returned to the father's home later that day, the ant's mouth was bleeding and the alleged father denied knowing how it occurred. The mother did not seek medical attention the infant until March 10th. The child's alleged father was also arrested.
is C Boo (Co Bat 948	a result of law enforcements investigation, the mother was charged with a Felony count of Neglecting a Child (Consequence Great Bodily Harm). The alleged father was charged with two Felony counts of Child Abuse - Intentionally Cause Great dily Harm, a Felony count of Child Abuse - High Probability/Great Harm, a Felony count of Neglecting a Child onsequence is Great Bodily Harm), a Felony count of Battery - Intend Bodily Harm, and two Misdemeanor counts of ttery. The father was convicted of one felony count of Child Abuse-Recklessly Cause Great Harm (Wisconsin Statutes 3.03(3)(a) and one felony count of Child Abuse-High Probability of Great Harm (Wisconsin Statutes 948.03(2)(c)). The ther was convicted of a misdemeanor count of Neglecting a Child (Wisconsin Statutes 948.21(1)(a)).
The sign evidence care seed	dings by agency, including maltreatment determination and material circumstances leading to incident: e agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to complete the assessment. The baby received nificant injuries while in the alleged father's care. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found a preponderance of dence to substantiate maltreatment of physical abuse to the two-month old by the alleged father. A preponderance of dence was also found to substantiate neglect by the mother to both children. The mother knowingly left her children in the e of an individual she knew to be unsafe. Additionally, the mother knew the baby was injured and failed to immediately k medical attention. Both children were deemed unsafe and taken into temporary physical custody and placed in out-of-me care. The family is currently receiving Ongoing Case Management Services.
	Yes No Criminal investigation pending or completed? Yes No Criminal charges filed? If yes, against whom? The mother and alleged father.
Chi	ild's residence at the time of incident: In-home Ut-of-home care placement
	mplete the appropriate following section (A. or B. based on the child's residence at the time of the incident). Children residing at home at the time of the incident:
	Description of the child's family (includes household members, noncustodial parent and other children that have visitation with the child and / or in the child's family home):
	At the time of the incident, the infant lived with her mother and two-year-old brother.
	☐ Yes ☒ No Statement of Services: Were services under ch. 48 or ch. 938 being provided to the child, any member of the child's family or alleged maltreater at the time of the incident, including any referrals received by the agency or reports being investigated at time of incident?

	If "Yes", briefly describe the type of services, date(s) of last contact between person(s) receiving those services:	een agency and recipient(s) of those services, and the	
	N/A		
	Summary of all involvement in services as adults under ch. 48 or ch. 938 by child's parents or alleged maltreater in the previous five years: (Does not include the current incident.)		
	N/A		
	Summary of actions taken by the agency under ch. 48, including any inve the child, any member of the child's family living in this household and th include the current incident.)	stigation of a report or referrals to services involving e child's parents and alleged maltreater. (Does not	
	(Note: Screened out reports listed in this section may include only the date of to occurred at Access. Reports that do not constitute a reasonable suspicion of not threatened with harm are not required to be screened in for an initial assessme	naltreatment or a reason to believe that the child is	
	On 7/22/13 the agency screened out a CPS report.		
On 7/8/13 the agency screened in a CPS report referral alleging physical abuse to the 1-year-old (not child's adjudicated father. The Initial Assessment completed by the agency found insufficient evider physical abuse by the father. The child was assessed as safe and the case was closed.		ency found insufficient evidence to substantiate	
	Summary of any investigation involving the child, any member of the child's family and alleged maltreater conducted under ch. 48 and any services provided to the child and child's family since the date of the incident:		
	The agency collaborated with law enforcement and medical personnel to significant injuries while in the alleged father's care. The Initial Assess preponderance of evidence to substantiate maltreatment of physical aburpreponderance of evidence was also found to substantiate neglect by the left her children in the care of an individual she knew to be unsafe. Ad and failed to immediately seek medical attention. Both children were decusted and placed in out-of-home care. The family is currently received	ment completed by the agency found a use to the two-month old by the alleged father. A me mother to both children. The mother knowingly ditionally, the mother knew the baby was injured deemed unsafe and taken into temporary physical	
В.	Children residing in out-of-home care (OHC) placement at time of incident:		
	Description of the OHC placement and basis for decision to place child there: N/A		
	Description of all other persons residing in the OHC placement home: $\ensuremath{N/A}$		
Licensing history: Including type of license, duration of license, summary of any violations by licensee or an employee o other actions that constitute a substantial failure to protect and promote the welfare of the child. N/A		any violations by licensee or an employee of licensee or fare of the child.	
Sur	Protective plan implemented Initial assessment conducted Safety plan implemented Temporary physical custody of child Petitioned for court order / CHIPS (child in need of protection or services) Placement into foster home	ttempted or successful reunification eferral to services ransportation assistance ollaboration with law enforcement ollaboration with medical professionals upervised visitation ase remains open for services ase closed by agency	
	Placement with relatives	itiated efforts to address or enhance community	

Ongoing Services case management

collaboration on CA/N cases

Other (describe):

FOR DSP COMPLETION IF RECORD OR ON-SITE REVIEW WAS UNDERTAKEN:

Summary of policy or practice changes to address issues identified based on the record or on-site review of the incident: Under the Child Welfare Disclosure Act (Section 48.981(7)(cr), Stats.), the DSP completes a 90-day review of the agency's practice in each case reported under the Act. In accordance with the DCF memo Series 2010-13, dated December 7, 2010 pertaining to the Child Welfare Case Review Protocol, the Bureau of Performance Management (BPM) completed a review in case # 140312DSP-Milw-429. BMCW practice in Access was not compliant with standards related to screening decision for one of the two access reports under review. BMCW practice in Initial Assessment was not compliant with standards related to protective planning, thorough information gathering, and the requirement to complete separate Initial Assessments when more than one household is involved in a case when there are allegations of maltreatment in each household. Practice strengths were observed in the areas of collaboration with law enforcement, medical providers, and forensic specialists as well as information gathering from relevant collateral contacts. The Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare must develop and implementation plan which outlines its strategies in response to the recommendations cited in this report. BPM will review the implementation plan on a quarterly basis.

Recommendations for further changes in policies, practices, rules or statutes needed to address identified issues based on the record or on-site review: None				
∑ Yes	This 90-day summary report completes the Division of Safety and Permanence (DSP) action on this case.			

If the case review was not completed within 90 days, the DSP will complete and submit the final summary report within 6 months.

This 90-Day Summary Report was previously delayed. DSP did determine that releasing the summary report would jeopardize an ongoing criminal proceeding, and delayed posting as provided under Wis. Stat. § 48.981(7)(cr)7.